

EXHIBIT 36

Legal Overview

Craig Clark, Legal Director I

2017

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

The Uber logo, consisting of the word "UBER" in white, bold, sans-serif capital letters on a black rectangular background.

UBER



CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340391



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340392



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL


UBER00340393

Law !== Science

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340394



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340395



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL




CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340396

From: Uber
To: Uber VP of Global Operations
cc: John / L Senior Counsel
Subject: Quarterly Report - Privileged & Confidential

Attached are the numbers for last quarter. We need to discuss asap. I am marking this attorney-client privileged due to sensitivity.




PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340397

From: Legal Budget
To: Johnny Law, Senior Counsel
Re: Operations Update - Key-Client Privileged

I am happy to report that the metrics for department performance are good. Our \$ spend on outside counsel declined in Q1. We also created new tools to increase our efficiencies.



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340398

From: Bus Dev, Uber for Business

To: Johnny Law

Re: Need your A

I am concerned about the deal. Is the indemnity provision ok




PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340399

"My company needs uncapped indemnity."



"Fido, We need your advice. Can we give our vendor uncapped indemnity?"



"FYI – in case you are interested"



"Here's my advice & very sensitive strategy...."



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

From:




"Is there legal risk if we do XYZ? My team and I need to know."

CC:



"Here's my sweet legal opinion & advice."



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340401

THE VERGE

TRENDING NOW

Amazon's full on-demand streaming music service launches today

23
NEW ARTICLES

LOG IN | SIGN UP

LONGFORM

REVIEWS

VIDEO

TECH

CIRCUIT BREAKER

SCIENCE

ENTERTAINMENT

CARS

TL;DR

FORUMS

Q

PREVIOUS STORY

New Suicide Squad trailer shows the Joker having a good time

NEXT STORY

Armed robbers used Pokémon Go to target victims in Missouri

TECH TRANSPORTATION REPORT UBER

58
COMMENTS

How Uber secretly investigated its legal foes — and got caught

Faced with a class action suit, the company hired a CIA-linked intelligence firm to look into the plaintiffs and their lawyer, but a judge says they may have gone too far

By **Russell Brandom** and **Andrew Hawkins** on July 10, 2016 05:00 pm [Email](#) [@russellbrandom](#)

The Intercept

FEDERAL JUDGE RIPS UBER APART OVER DIRT-DIGGING INVESTIGATION



Sam Biddle

July 29 2016, 12:15 p.m.

IN DECEMBER OF last year, Yale environmental researcher Spencer Meyer filed suit against Uber, alleging price fixing by Uber's drivers and founder in violation of federal antitrust law. Hardly the first person to accuse Uber of corporate malfeasance, Meyer nonetheless became the target of private investigators, working for a security company hired by Uber, who attempted to dig up derogatory information — an act the district judge hearing the case, Jed Rakoff, has now, in a 31-page [order](#), called "blatantly fraudulent and arguably criminal."

Emails turned over by Uber on the judge's instructions and summarized in the order show that on the day Meyer filed suit, Uber counsel Salle Yoo contacted the company's chief security officer, asking, "Could we find out a little more about this plaintiff?"

Uber investigations chief Mat Henley then selected a New York-based private investigative firm called Ergo, also known as Global Precision Research, and began working with one of its executives, Todd Egeland. Henley said in a sworn deposition. Egeland's online bios state openly that he is a 28-year veteran of the CIA with experience in counterintelligence and cyberthreats.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340402

Case 1:15-cv-09796-JSR Document 119 Filed 07/25/16 Page 1 of 31

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----x
SPENCER MEYER, individually and on :
behalf of those similarly situated, :

Plaintiff, :

-v- :

TRAVIS KALANICK and :
UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., :

Defendants. :
-----x

15 Civ. 9796

OPINION AND ORDER

JED S. RAKOFF, U.S.D.J.

It is a sad day when, in response to the filing of a commercial lawsuit, a corporate defendant feels compelled to hire unlicensed private investigators to conduct secret personal background investigations of both the plaintiff and his counsel. It is sadder yet when these investigators flagrantly lie to friends and acquaintances of the plaintiff and his counsel in an (ultimately unsuccessful) attempt to obtain derogatory

information about them. The questions here presented, however, are whether such dubious practices result in waiver of attorney-client privilege and work-product protection, and whether disciplinary action is warranted.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340403

subpoenas' parameters. See id. at 4-5. In response to the subpoenas, Uber and Ergo claimed attorney-client privilege and/or work-product protection over numerous documents and voice recordings, and the Court indicated that it would need to review these materials in camera to determine whether privilege was correctly asserted and/or whether the "crime-fraud" exception to the privilege applied. See id. at 5. The Court further stated that in camera review would also be needed to determine whether plaintiff would be authorized to depose Uber's General Counsel Salle Yoo. See id. at 4-5.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

Message

From: Joe Sullivan [REDACTED]@uber.com]
Sent: 12/16/2015 9:52:32 PM
To: Mat Henley [REDACTED]@uber.com]
Subject: FW: Antitrust Lawsuit in SDNY Against Travis
Attachments: 2015-12-16 Case No. 15-cv-09796 Spencer Meyer v. Travis Kalanick (Uber Complaint).pdf

Please do a careful check on this plaintiff (the person who is the driver/named party in the case).

From: Salle Yoo [REDACTED]@uber.com>
Date: Wednesday, December 16, 2015 at 1:42 PM
To: Joe Sullivan [REDACTED]@uber.com>
Subject: Fwd: Antitrust Lawsuit in SDNY Against Travis

ATTY CLIENT COMMUNICATION - PRIVILEGE

Joe,

Could we find out a little more about this plaintiff?

Thanks,
 Salle

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

Eddy, has
 and
 rrorism at
 ring the
 assador
 senior
 man.
 rtment's

**Emails were encrypted to
 "avoid potential
 discovery issues."**

Case 1:15-cv-09796-JSR Document 104-5 Filed 07/01/16 Page 3 of 26

FIRST EMAIL RECEIVED REGARDING PROJECT #2
 12/17/15 @ 9:04 AM MST
 EMAIL FROM MAT HENLEY TO TODD EGELAND AND MATTHEW MONEYHON

Mat Henley [REDACTED] 12/17/15

-----BEGIN PGP MESSAGE-----
 Content: ISO-8859-1
 Content: GPG Tools - <https://gnupg.org>
 Content: Using GnuPG with Mozilla - <https://mail.mozilla.org/>

RGEMASZG3Za2n2AG2THACMRWYXNDP+YBNDLrtg7oAhtus0wed4phV2B
 pndrHwagQujgP7b1XpUqj6CwVud5qgAsTeeKSPWYcm3QpPepP7vTaka
 dLAUWv7Wu8BVCXCKVJAGsFVxubgYXEDCm7L3p00xLDBQu0XAGEn
 Hm180XQXQjR2j+3YFD8ooq9Wla065eY7Zvnt4BwDOXScvMg7F2p3H
 RmUCVTOQC1jLW1vM1B8oCRocA03a-bfHUndF+PmW8GademlogTvgDU3eV
 tsUEADQgm5WEDTTF0+LlUdJCFZWC3yMbee4UCyGACZC0uMdgRAH1q0
 xNduUen1ydrfa8ZuEY2v40adCE8ngGpYUuT6A27hYCOLWENETqPmM5e
 9ExOj98pYwSCUu3ZA+MwVJub600K+Uy6L8u+GwAcd3pGngentEK.M
 dZ+UJLwGzdR0D77mp7xUpT6LUU+4d0+gNeQ2pB8FnegY1eAJTBo
 DE+YK7Z3eCMK8eQVwvHFTh8n6p3FAdPjxgm9H+x15CqNP+28E/pag4
 Cm15u9BwN200sePv8m9Kg94KtP0az70Ade6y68TXNYU4F9nq2p8
 ApxJG895C8VEKXU8yQW976GRLgJZ727BMFYJukL0buh138ePRA1sr
 NsuG20uJwWdWPE87g26KpZFTZK7g7Zdmg4G88u8URyn0G2ZeeMPV5YPA
 VNJ5R4P0qJUVJMBXnmw270K76g7K6UUPZGZVwVwP6KTV0EB8ur
 F0SE19F0rPwv8d0FFvWMEGLJONYTFL8ct7wUUBBPgUJ0KAT7PwNll
 hQghndCZ8e9vzUJ6w8mZ8Avt7KQd98DwF0M4TEG4K0E+2y0Nw1Q
 JqgD22vK0Y0A+-8y8eC3PLuM2vR8vJvA+8d8w8E8G77h0yVnG+28FC0
 8Q2u8eF0m8d4Fm833vJ7T4+H8p7gVU8eG8EJm8e8v8P833Z7nq5
 gK030C2y54P0y0NPA8e888LmR8A58UAK0C0dAFzwnT8x8u0Z
 E8h18+8f8F8v8h8d8V8e+83w8Q0v8R8v8U8p8v8t0WU8W8P88z0
 v8H8d8p8p8Q88y8C8RLD00y8y08v8V88K8ND8A8F8w8T00D8e8t8P8J8+
 +10v8d8u8m8h8m8u88e8u8C8U8J828d8E8LQ8w8y80y8G8V88v88G8T8X8W8w8
 8L8d8h8G8h8d8u88e88m8P8v8M8W8C8F1X8M88K877N4p8

-----END PGP MESSAGE-----

DECRYPTED TEXT

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340405

Crime-fraud exception to attorney-client privilege – Facebook’s lawsuit

Blog From the Sidebar

Cozen O'Connor




USA | December 18 2014

The crime-fraud exception to attorney-client privilege: As an attorney, you may not anticipate it applying to your emails, your letters or your advice to your client. But even if you never see it coming, your client’s intentions in obtaining legal advice may expose your communications to disclosure. A law firm is experiencing this problem firsthand in a series of high-profile cases involving Facebook, Mark Zuckerberg and a former business partner. The cases present an interesting study in how the crime-fraud exception can operate.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340406

 2:28 PM Today Resolve ⋮
 Test comment

Reply Cancel



Invite people:
 Can edit ▼
☒ Notify people via email - [Add message](#)
Share & save Cancel

☐ Send a copy to myself
☐ Paste the item itself into the email

Revision history ×	
May 22, 2:51 PM	■ GlennF
May 17, 4:05 PM	■ adamengst ■ GlennF
May 8, 2:29 PM	■ adamengst ■ GlennF
Apr 19, 10:50 AM	■ GlennF
Apr 19, 10:13 AM	■ GlennF
Apr 16, 12:14 PM	■ adamengst ■ GlennF
Apr 13, 10:04 AM	■ GlennF Restore this revision
Apr 9, 1:09 PM	■ GlennF

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340407



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340408

TECH

Uber Data And **Leaked Docs** Provide A Look At How Much Uber Drivers Make

Uber data suggests that drivers overall in three major U.S. markets — Denver, Detroit, and Houston — earned less than \$13.25 an hour after expenses in late 2015, according to calculations based on more than a million trips.

posted on Jun. 22, 2016, at 3:37 p.m.



Caroline O'Donovan
BuzzFeed News Reporter



Jeremy Singer-Vine
BuzzFeed News Data Editor

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340409



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340410

Examples of Legal Conclusions

- Company...
 - ...is (or is not) doing something illegal.
 - ...will (or will not) be sued if it [insert conduct].
 - ...is (or is not) breaching a contract.
 - ...does (or does not) need a license for certain technology.
 - ...does (or does not) have a patent on certain technology.

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL


CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340411

Best Practices

- **Talk**
- **Zoom**
- **Phone (voice)**
- **Appropriate security**
- **Extreme care**
- **NYT/WSJ test**
- **Don't speculate**
- **Use legal**

PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL



Oct. 7, 1924.
W. S. HANLEY ET AL.
BY
Original Filed Nov. 1, 1923
2 Sheets-Sheet 1



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL



CONFIDENTIAL


UBER00340413



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340414



PRIVILEGED & CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

UBER00340415



“ ”